

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,134	02/27/2002	Thomas Henry	47267/KMO/C947	2230
75	590 02/07/2005		EXAM	INER
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN, LLP			WONG, LESLIE A	
Three Embarca	dero Center, Suite 1800		,	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1971	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/086,134	HENRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/086,134

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 26, 2005 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thakar et al (US Patent No. 6475538) in view of Barz et al (US Patent No. 5200216).

Thakar et al disclose a process for preparing cheese comprising coagulating a dairy substrate, cutting the curd, separating the whey, heating with steam, and further processing to produce cheese (see entire patent, especially claims 1 and 7). Thakar et al also disclose the addition of cream and non-fat dry milk before or during heating (see claim 5). Thakar et al also disclose the addition of starch (see claim 12).

The claims differ as to the steps taking being performed without intermediate freezing, cold storage, or packing (i.e. a continuous process).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,134 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Barz et al disclose the conventional continuous processing of cheese (see entire document, especially claim 1).

It is also noted that Thakar et al teach a batch process so that the curd may be stored until needed (see for example the abstract). Certainly, if the need is immediate then the curd would not be stored and the process would be continuous as is claimed.

It would have been obvious, to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to specifically use a continuous process as taught by Barz et al in that of Thakar et al because the use of continuous processes in cheese manufacturing is conventional in the art. The use of batch or continuous processing based upon need and facility limitations. The selection of processing type is well within the skill of the art and absence the showing of unexpected results is merely a matter of choice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

**Primary Examiner** 

Jeslie wong

Art Unit 1761

LAW February 4, 2005